# Horwitz Equation as Quality Benchmark in ISO/IEC 17025 Testing Laboratory

Carlos Rivera Rosario Rodriguez

# Preface

#### ISO/IEC 17025 accredited Testing Labs:

Keep quality controls of their processes.
Express uncertainty of measurements.

#### **Accreditation Requirements**



# 17025 Lab's Quality Assurance



# The core question

# Which reference values should be used to validate ISO 5725's results



### Acknowledgment

 Authors express gratitude to Mr. Javier Vargas for his allowance to use Oil Reclaiming's control charts to show applications of Horwitz Equation.

# Objectives

- **1. Reproducibility** and **Uncertainty** of measurement?
- 2. Scope of testing laboratories **quality control**?
- **3. Horwitz** equation as quality benchmark?
- 4. Uncertainty accreditation policies?

# Objectives

- **1. Reproducibility and Uncertainty of** measurement?
- 2. Scope of testing laboratories quality control?
- **3. Horwitz** equation as quality benchmark?
- 4. Uncertainty accreditation policies?

### International Vocabulary of Metrology VIM



#### 2.24 reproducibility condition of measurement

...different locations, operators, <u>measuring systems</u>, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects

#### 2.25 measurement reproducibility

reproducibility <u>measurement precision</u> under <u>reproducibility conditions of measurement</u>

NOTE Relevant statistical terms are given in ISO 5725-1:1994 and ISO 5725-2:1994.

#### 2.21 measurement repeatability

repeatability <u>measurement precision</u> under a set of <u>repeatability conditions of measurement</u>

#### 2.20 repeatability condition of measurement

...same <u>measurement procedure</u>, same operators, same <u>measuring system</u>, same operating conditions and same location, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects over a short period of time.

# Objectives

- **1. Reproducibility and Uncertainty** of measurement?
- 2. Scope of testing laboratories quality control?
- **3. Horwitz** equation as quality benchmark?
- 4. Uncertainty accreditation policies?

#### Uncertainty



#### Uncertainty is to measurement...

what **control limits** are to standardized **production processes**. Nevertheless...

there are some **experimental conditions** that should be complied to estimate the **useful value**; **GUM** explains the general criteria.

# Objectives

- **1. Reproducibility** and **Uncertainty** of measurement?
- 2. Scope of testing laboratories quality control?
- **3. Horwitz** equation as quality benchmark?
- 4. Uncertainty accreditation policies?

# 17025's Quality Control

5.9.1. The laboratory shall have quality control procedures for monitoring the **validity of tests**...

5.9.2. Quality control data shall be analyzed and, where they are found to be **outside pre-defined criteria**...



#### 5.9 @ 17025

# Measure the measurer!

# Objectives

- **1. Reproducibility** and **Uncertainty** of measurement?
- 2. Scope of testing laboratories quality control?
- **3.** Horwitz equation as quality benchmark?
- 4. Uncertainty accreditation policies?

# What does HorEq show?

- □ It's an empirical number
- **I** It is a CV
- Comes from on analytical laboratories
- □ Reproducibility of analytical processes
- $\Box \quad \text{CV Horwitz} = \sigma$ 
  - $\square$  N > 1000 items
  - □ Data distribution were as standard

#### How is it expressed?

# $CV \% = 2C^{-0.15}$

Where C, is the concentration of the **analyte** expressed as a **mass fraction** 

#### How does it look?



Figure 1. Interlaboratory coefficient of variation as a function of concentration.

HORWITZ ET.AL. Assoc. of Anal. Chem. (Vol. 63, No. 4, 1980); p. 1345

#### When can it be used?



CalibrationsPhysical measurementEmpirical analyte

#### When can it be used?



Humidity; ash; fiber; **analyte** defined by method where its **concentration depends** on procedure.

Neither for **undefined analytes** such as enzymes, polymers and bimolecules.

# $1.2 \ge 10^{-7} \le C \le 0.138$

This **range** was **validated** for mycotoxin in milk, **but**...

•... "Without further experimental confirmation, similar conclusions have been incorporated into several recent EU Directives dealing with other analytes."

> HORWITZ & ALBERT Journal of AOAC International (Vol. 89, No. 4, 2006); p. 1103

•"... as the concentration decreases as the detection limit is approached (at about 10 ppb), the number of false negatives increases."

HORWITZ & ALBERT, (Ibid.) page 1103

# $1.2 \ge 10^{-7} \le C \le 0.138$

- Limited scope of validation

- Accepted by EU regulations

# 100 ppb $\leq C$

- Experimental finding by Horwitz et.al.

# What applications have been tried?

•Oil Reclaiming accredited lab (ema), that tested Horwitz Equation as QC benchmark to:

-Monitor performance of gas chromatography -Bias

-Reproducibility of process

-Estimate uncertainty of measurement

#### Performance of Gas Chromatography

•ASTM D3612 – 02 Analysis of Gases Dissolved in Electrical Insulating Oil

•ASTM D4059 – 00 Analysis of Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Insulating Liquids

#### Precision values at standards

• ASTM D3612 – 02  $I_n (R)_{95\%} = K_n (R)_{95\%} X C_n$ 

• ASTM D4059 – 00  $I(r)_{0,95} = k(r) \ge (X_{media})^{0,75}$ 

### Comparision

| ASTM  | Range                                    | Conc. | (1) CV <sub>R</sub><br>% <sub>(95%)</sub> | (2) CV <sub>H</sub><br>% <sub>(95%)</sub> | Ratio<br>(1)/(2) |
|-------|--|-------|---|---|------------------|
| D3612 | H <sub>2</sub> @ 90-710                  | 90    | 38  | 16  | 2                |
| D3613 | CO @ 110 - 930                           | 110   | 79  | 16  | 5                |
| D3614 | CH <sub>4</sub> @ 35 - 620               | 35    | 72  | 19  | 4                |
| D3615 | C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> @ 40 - 400 | 40    | 75  | 18  | 4                |
| D3616 | C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> @ 30 - 800 | 30    | 82  | 19  | 4                |
| D3617 | C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> @ 25 - 335 | 25    | 64  | 20  | 3                |
| D3618 | CO <sub>2</sub> @ 25 - 335               | 25    | 76  | 20  | 4                |
| D4059 | Megabore                                 | 5     | 30  | 25  | 1                |
| D4059 | Megabore                                 | 50    | 30  | 18  | 2                |
| D4059 | Megabore                                 | 500   | 17  | 13  | 1                |

# $CV_H vs CV_R$

•  $CV_R$  control limits, **too open** to allow effective preventive actions.

• Closing  $CV_R$  limits is a good practice but;

• CV<sub>H</sub> closes limits of reproducibility to a **benchmarked** value

### **Control Chart**



#### APPLICATIONS

# Control chart of BIAS with reproducibility limits

**Evaluates** BIAS of measurement process using a **characterized** sample essayed; in different days.

**Fixed limits** on the **Hor Eq** value calculated; **expanded 95%** under standard probability curve.

Presents variance among results; on controls.

#### Control chart of BIAS with reproducibility limits

ASTM D4059 @ 1260



09/01/05 09/01/16 09/02/09 09/02/11 09/03/05 09/03/18 09/03/20 09/04/02 09/04/14 09/04/21 09/05/19 09/05/28

# Control chart of variance with reproducibility limits

**Evaluates CV** of measurement process using a characterized sample; essayed in different days.

Values come from **standard deviation** of the **last three values** at characterized sample.

**Limits were fixed** on the value **calculated** from **Horwitz** Equation expanded 95% under standard probability curve.

# Sample of control chart of reproducibility

ASTM D4059 @ 1254



# **Uncertainty of Measurement**

- Laboratory **controls** shows **stability**.
- Performance on variance below Horwitz limits and ASTM known reproducibility.
- Laboratory analyzing **Horwitz Equation** as the equation **of uncertainty.**

# Objectives

- **1. Reproducibility** and **Uncertainty** of measurement?
- 2. Scope of testing laboratories quality control?
- **3. Horwitz** equation as quality benchmark?
- 4. Uncertainty accreditation policies?

#### Policies for uncertainty in accreditation

• International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation.

•International cooperation among various accreditation schemes throughout the world.

## **Accreditation Bodies**

- Mutual Recognition Arrangement
- Similar procedures
- Policies on uncertainty aligned to ILAC's guidelines



# ILAC G 17

The level of **uncertainty** that is **acceptable**:

**Decided** on the basis of <u>fitness for purpose</u>. Occasionally **large uncertainty** may be **acceptable**; sometimes a **small uncertainty** is **required**.

# G 17 about standardized methods

•<u>Well-recognized methods</u> specifying limits of the major sources of uncertainty require no special action.

•Laboratories are allowed to <u>quote the typical</u> <u>uncertainty of measurement</u> if they can demonstrate full compliance with the test method.

Objectives 1. Reproducibility and Uncertainty of measurement? Scope of testing laboratories quality control?
Horwitz equation as quality benchmark? 4. Uncertainty asci editation policies?

### Conclusions

**Benchmarking** with **Horwitz allows** scoped laboratories to track the performance of their processes;

Appropriate to express CV at framed essay methods;

**Reporting uncertainty** of measurement through Horwitz Equation **acceptable** under **ILAC** guidelines.

# Thank you!

Carlos Rivera Rosario Rodríguez